

Nebraska Judicial Branch

REVISED - Weighted Caseload Report

County Courts

Reporting Period
Fiscal Year 2020

July 1, 2019 to
June 30, 2020



July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020
Revised January 2021

Reason for Revised Weighted Caseload Report – County Court (FY 2020)

After the *Weighted Caseload Report – County Court (FY 2020)* was published on the Nebraska Supreme Court website, the Nebraska State Court Administrator discovered a counting error regarding the number of 3A children. The error resulted from counting some children more than once. There was also a counting error involving adult problem-solving court cases. These counting errors caused the need for judges to be overestimated in some, but not all, judicial districts, as illustrated in the following table:

County Court	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	District 8	District 9	District 10	District 11	District 12
Need for Judges (as originally reported)	3.21	3.80	7.20	13.64	4.29	3.51	3.07	1.99	4.46	3.05	5.66	4.00
CORRECT Need for Judges	2.79	3.41	6.96	13.45	4.29	3.51	2.75	1.94	4.46	2.98	5.41	4.39

The issues that caused the overcounting have been corrected, and the Nebraska State Court Administrator is publishing this *REVISED Weighted Caseload Report – County Court (FY 2020)* to correct the errors contained in the original report.

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	page 4
State Map Nebraska County Court Judicial Needs.....	page 5
1st Judicial District.....	page 6
2nd Judicial District.....	page 7
3rd Judicial District.....	page 8
4th Judicial District.....	page 9
5th Judicial District.....	page 10
6th Judicial District.....	page 11
7th Judicial District.....	page 12
8th Judicial District.....	page 13
9th Judicial District.....	page 14
10th Judicial District.....	page 15
11th Judicial District.....	page 16
12th Judicial District.....	page 17
Court Case Type Categories and Weights Appendix.....	page 18

Nebraska County Courts Weighted Caseload Report - REVISED

Nebraska has a county court in each of its 93 counties, organized into 12 Judicial Districts. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-503, the Legislature determines the number of county court judges who serve in each judicial district, and the geographic boundaries of each judicial district. An objective assessment of judicial workload allows informed decisions about district boundaries and the number of judges needed to timely resolve the cases in each judicial district.

To assist in evaluating judicial workloads, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1007(1) requires the Nebraska Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP) to compile judicial workload statistics based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. These weighted caseload statistics are used by the Judicial Branch, the Judicial Resources Commission, and the Legislature to evaluate judicial need, and guide decisions and recommendations on how best to allocate judicial resources across the state.

To ensure the validity, uniformity and accuracy of the AOCP's judicial workload statistics, a statewide judicial time study was conducted in 2019-2020 under the direction and leadership of the National Center for State Courts. For a full description of the judicial time study and the recommended weighting methodology and standards, see *Nebraska Judicial Workload Assessment Final Report* (October 2020) on the Nebraska Supreme Court Website. Because this Weighted Caseload Report utilizes the methodology and standards from the 2020 workload assessment, direct comparison to archived reports is not recommended.

No quantitative judicial workload assessment method, including the weighted caseload method, can determine the exact number of judges needed within each judicial district. But weighted caseload statistics can approximate the number of judges needed to handle the current caseload in each judicial district. When weighted caseload statistics are examined in conjunction with other important influencing factors it provides an objective and standardized way to assess judicial need and to fairly allocate judicial resources among judicial districts.



Corey R. Steel | Nebraska State Court Administrator

Nebraska Supreme Court

Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation


Rm. 1213 State Capitol | P.O. Box 98910 | Lincoln, NE

68509 T 402.471.3730 | F 402.471.2197

www.supremecourt.ne.gov

Nebraska County Court Judicial Needs

Fiscal Year 2020 (July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020)



Differences between the total County Court Need for Judges and the sum of individual counties is due to rounding to the nearest one-hundredth.



Weighted Caseload Report

1st Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 2.79
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.93

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Primary Counties Served by
1st District Judges




Weighted Caseload Report



2nd Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 3.41
 Current number of judges: 4
 Workload per judge: 0.85

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Primary Counties Served by
2nd District Judges




*Sarpy County Juvenile Judge Robert O’Neal hears all Otoe County Juvenile Cases. Sarpy County Juvenile Judge Lawrence D. Gendler hears all Cass County Juvenile Cases.
 (Juvenile cases have been removed from Otoe and Cass County weighted cases and added to Sarpy County weighted cases.)

Weighted Caseload Report

3rd Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 6.96
 Current number of judges: 7
 Workload per judge: 0.99


Predicted judicial resources need



Judges Serving the 3rd District

County Court

- Acton
- Dalton
- Parsley
- Phillips
- Reuter
- Yardley
- Zimmerman



Weighted Caseload Report

4th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 13.45
 Current number of judges: 12
 Workload per judge: 1.12


Predicted judicial resources need



Judges Serving the 4th District

County Court

- Forsberg
- Hansen
- Harmon
- Hendrix
- Huber
- Keim
- Lohaus
- Lowe
- Marcuzzo
- McDermott
- Shearer
- Vaughn





Weighted Caseload Report

5th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.29
 Current number of judges: 5
 Workload per judge: 0.86

Predicted judicial resources need by county




Weighted Caseload Report



6th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 3.51
 Current number of judges: 4
 Workload per judge: 0.88

Predicted judicial resources need by county




Primary Counties Served by
6th District Judges





Weighted Caseload Report

7th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 2.75
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.92



Primary Counties Served by 7th District Judges





Weighted Caseload Report

8th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 1.94
 Current number of judges: 3
 Workload per judge: 0.65

Predicted judicial resources need by county

Primary Counties Served by
 8th District Judges



Weighted Caseload Report


9th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.46



Current number of judges: 4

Workload per judge: 1.12

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Primary Counties Served by 9th District Judges



Weighted Caseload Report


10th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 2.98



Current number of judges: 3

Workload per judge: 0.99

Predicted judicial resources need by county



Primary Counties Served by 10th District Judges




Weighted Caseload Report


11th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 5.41
 Current number of judges: 5
 Workload per judge: 1.08


Predicted judicial resources need by county



Primary Counties Served by 11th District Judges



County Court Judicial District 11
 Total Workload Minutes
 Sum (cases x weight)



Weighted Caseload Report


12th Judicial District - County Court

County court need for judges: 4.39


Current number of judges: 5

Workload per judge: 0.88


Predicted judicial resources need by county



Primary Counties Served by 12th District Judges



County Court Judicial District 12
Total Workload Minutes
Sum (cases x weight)



Court Case Type Categories and Weights Appendix

District Court Case Types	2020 Case Weight (minutes)
Problem Solving Court Cases	683
Protection Orders	32
Civil	219
Class I Felony	367
Other Criminal	149
Domestic Relations	97
Appeals	343
Administrative Appeals	540
County Court Case Types	2020 Case Weight (minutes)
Protection Orders	32
Felony	26
Misdemeanor	23
District Court: Adult Problem-Solving Court	683
Traffic	1
Civil	8
Probate	61
Guardianship/Conservatorship	133
Small Claims	30
Adoption	92
Domestic Relations	97
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Juvenile: Delinquency	100
Juvenile: Status Offender 3B	37
Juvenile: Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Juvenile: Bridge to Independence (B21)	58
Juvenile: Interstate Compact Hearings/Filings	2
Juvenile: Problem-Solving Court Cases	654
Separate Juvenile Court Case Types	2020 Case Weight (minutes)
Adoption	49
Domestic Relations	26
Juvenile: 3A Children	487
Delinquency	136
Status Offender 3B	54
Mentally Ill and Dangerous 3C	265
Bridge to Independence B21	36
Interstate Compact Hearing/Filings	2
Problem Solving Court Cases	654